

Review of the study program Management of Real Estate and Infrastructure (MA) from staff's perspective 2021-2022

College ESLG conducted a program evaluation and distributed the program evaluation form to all existing academic staff in writing. The questionnaire forms were filled by 10 course bearers of the MA program. The forms are mandatory and are filled by staff immediately upon the login before they grade their students in the end of academic year.

The survey is composed of five sections. Sections of the survey are as follows:

- 1. General part composed of five questions with Likert Scale from 1 to 5 (very dissatisfied to very satisfied);
- 2. Written comments with three text boxes composed of best feature of the program, the drawback of the program and the part what would you improve in the overall quality of the program from their perspective as academic staff;

Apart from descriptive statistics, also the multiple regression will be run to see which of the independent variables influences mostly the dependent variable.

GENERAL PART

For each section the descriptive statistics was run in order to superficially find out which of the aspects of overall quality influenced mostly the staff' satisfaction with teaching in the study program. The descriptive statistics analysis was run in SPSS. The statistical analysis has been presented in Table 1.

E-mail: info@eukos.org



Table 1: Descriptive statistics for general part

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
How satisfied are you with					
the overall learning	16	3	6	4.44	.727
environment of the study					
program					
How satisfied are you with					
the overall research	16	3	5	4.13	.500
environment of the study					
program?					
How satisfied are you with	16	3	5	4.31	.602
how teaching and research					
workload is allocated for the					
staff within the program?					
How satisfied are you with					
how well the study program	16	3	5	4.19	.544
is structured to enable you					
to excel in your pedagogical					
activity?4					
How satisfied are you with	16	3	5	4.13	.500
existing resources to					
achieve the expected					
learning outcomes?					
How satisfied are you with					
internal quality procedures	16	4	5	4.44	.512
of the study program?					
How satisfied are you with					
the support provided for	16	3	5	4.19	.655
academic staff success and					
excellence?					

College ESLG Ibrahim Rugova p.n, Konjuh, Lipjan

Tel: 038 602 405 M: 045 456 666 E-mail: <u>info@eukos.org</u>



How satisfied are you with					
the academic autonomy	16	4	5	4.31	.479
within the study program?					
How satisfied are you the					
staff development	10	0	5	4 44	620
opportunities within the	16	3	5	4.44	.629
study program?					
How satisfied are you with					
how well the department	10	2	-	4.40	740
recognizes the staff	16	3	5	4.13	.719
achievement?					
How satisfied are you with					
the achievement of the					
overall study program	16	4	5	4.44	.512
objectives and learning					
outcomes?					
Valid N (listwise)	16				

From the responses, the present report finds out that all the responses have the mean higher than 4.0, meaning that academic staff has the high satisfaction with the content, structure, administration, and implementation of the study program. The highest aspect that academic staff scored are the following:

- How satisfied are you with the overall learning environment of the study program with the mean of 4.44 and
- How satisfied are you with internal quality procedures with the mean of 4.44;

Whereby the academic staff is the least satisfied with the:

- Overall research environment;
- Resources to support achievement of learning outcomes;
- How well department recognizes staff acievement



RECOMMENDATION:

- Strengthen the research environment by amending the Regulation on Staff Promotion and strengthening the quantitative and qualitative criteria for staff promotion with respect to research;
- Analyze and strengthen the resources for achievement of learning outcomes;
- Establish a scheme for staff recognition such as Best Professor Award;

M.Sc. Jehona Hoxha, QA Manager Date: 15.10.2022